I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service, with sufficient postage, as first class mail united States Postal Service, with Sufficient postage, as first class mail wan envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Issue Fee, Commissioner for Patents, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on May 28, 2003.

Name of Applicant, Assignee or
Ragistered Representative

Signature

5/28/03

Date of Mailing

Our Case No. 8864/7

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)
GRIFFITH D. NEAL))) Examiner Thanh Lam
Serial No. 09/470,434) Group Art Unit No. 2834
Filing Date: December 22, 1999) Confirmation No.: 6144
For HIGH SPEED SPINDLE MOTOR)
	,

SUBMISSION OF FORMAL DRAWINGS AND ISSUE FEE

*Mail Stop Issue fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Attn: Official Draftsman

Dear Sir:

In response to the Notice of Allowance and Issue Fee Due mailed March 25, 2003 enclosed is form PTOL-85B Issue Fee Transmittal, thirteen (13) sheets of formal drawings and credit card charge authorization for \$680.00.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: May 28, 2003 BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE

P.O. BOX 10395

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60610

(312) 321-4200

Steven P. Shurtz

Registration No. 31,424

Attorney for Applicant

I hereto, certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service, with sufficient postage, as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Issue Fee, Commissioner for Patents, Mexandria, VA 22313-1450, on May 28, 2003.

Steven P. Shurtz Reg. No. 31,424

Name of Applicant, Assignee or
Registered Representative

Linear P. Linear
Signature

Date of Mailing

Our Case No. 8864/7

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:) }
GRIFFITH D. NEAL)) Examiner Thanh Lam)) Group Art Unit No. 2834)) Confirmation No.: 6144
Serial No. 09/470,434	
Filing Date: December 22, 1999	
For HIGH SPEED SPINDLE MOTOR)
)

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Mail Stop Issue fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In the Notice of Allowance mailed March 25, 2003 for the above-captioned case, the Examiner made several comments about why particular claims were allowable. Applicant does not disagree with these statements, but wishes to point out that the statements are not all inclusive, i.e., it is believed that other reasons exist for allowance of the claims. Further, some of the stated reasons do not accurately recite what is in the claims. For example, claim 59 requires a coefficient of linear thermal expansion of less than 2x10⁻⁵ in/in/°F throughout the range of 0-250°F, rather than 0.000005 in/in/°F as

stated on page 3 of the Notice of Allowance. Also, claim 59 does not include such a limitation.

Applicant just wishes to make clear that the stated reasons for allowance do not change the claims as allowed.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: May 28, 2003

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE

P.O. BOX 10395

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60610

(312) 321-4200

Steven P. Shurtz

Registration No. 31,424 Attorney for Applicant